

Utilization of American Community Survey and Other Federal Data to Address Health Disparities in a Federally Designated Region

Whitney E. Zahnd, MS Georgia S. Mueller-Luckey, MS Joshua Sarver, MPA



OBJECTIVE



To describe how American Community Survey data can be used in combination with other federal data to assess health disparities in a federally designated region such as the Delta Regional Authority.





DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

- The Delta Region was designated in 2000 to improve socioeconomic opportunity in within its geographic boundaries.
- Mission: "work to improve regional economic opportunity by helping to create jobs, build communities, and improve the lives of the 10 million people who reside in the 252 counties and parishes of the eight-state Delta region."





DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY DEMOGRAPHICS



	Delta Region	United States
% Black	32.4%	13.2%
% of Adults 25+ Years of	81.7%	85.7%
Age with a High School		
Degree		
% of Population Living	20.6%	14.9%
below Poverty Level		
% of Counties in Persistent	43.3%	11.2%
Poverty		
Median Household	\$40 <i>,</i> 427	\$53 <i>,</i> 046
Income		
Unemployment Rate	8.0%	7.4%
Population per square	64.0	89.5
mile		

Source: Delta Regional Authority; derived from 2010 Census data





DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY DISPARITIES



- Less access to care:
 - 42.14 primary care physicians per 100,000 in the Delta Region (55.24 per 100,000 nationally)
 - 91% of counties are health professional shortage areas
 - 38% of counties do not have a federally qualified health center
- Poorer health behaviors, such as higher smoking and obesity rates









MODEL FOR ANALYSIS OF POPULATION HEALTH AND HEALTH DISPARITIES







Source: Warnecke et al, 2008

AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY DATA

Social Context

- Examples: "neighborhood or community poverty level, extent of residential segregation, median income and education"
- ACS County data (5 yr. estimates; 2011-2015)
 - Poverty
 - Unemployment
 - Median Household Income
 - Education

Physical Context

- Examples: "availability and accessibility of local health care resources to the public; availability of transportation, quality air and water, etc."
- ACS County and Census Tract data (5 yr. estimates; 2011-2015)
 - Population estimates as a denominator in combination with other data sources to estimate access to health care services



OTHER FEDERAL DATA-DESCRIBING SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL CONTEXT



- United States Department of Agriculture Rural-Urban Continuum Codes
 - A continuum of 1 to 9 based upon population size and proximity to metro area
 - 1-3=Urban (or Metro)
 - 4-9=Rural (or Non-Metro)
 - 4,6,8= Adjacent to a Metro Area
 - 5,7,9=Not-Adjacent to a Metro Area
- Health Resources & Services Administration's Area Health Resource File
 - Includes data on health care professions and facilities, populations, environment, and other factors
 - These data can be used in conjunction with ACS or other data
 - Example: a primary care provider-to-population ratio can be calculated using ARHF and ACS data as the numerator and denominator, respectively
- Food and Drug Administration data on approved mammography facilities





APPLICATIONS OF ACS DATA

- 1) Creation of choropleth maps to visually display the social and physical context of the DRA
- 2) Construction of multilevel regression models to consider how neighborhood level social and physical context affect health outcomes such as cancer
- Utilization of Geographic Information System (GIS) methods such as the two step floating catchment area to evaluate access to health care services







APPLICATION #1- POVERTY CHOROPLETH MAPS



Display of the Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates from the American Community Survey





APPLICATION #1- RURAL-URBAN CHOROPLETH MAPS







Using the Rural Urban Continuum Codes from the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture





APPLICATION #1-PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN DENSITY CHOROPLETH MAPS





- Physician Density is the number of primary care physicians per 100,000 people within a county.
- Data on physicians come from the Area Health Resource File.
- Data on populations estimates come from the American Community Survey.



Source: Gennuso et al, 2016

APPLICATION #2- MULTILEVEL MODELING

- Use county-level ACS data on social and physical context in conjunction with health outcome data
 - Example: Breast cancer subtype and staging data from the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries
- Multilevel modeling is "an ideal statistical approach to [social geographies] studies in which individuals are nested within a smaller number of geographic areas." – Meilleur et al, 2013





APPLICATION #3-SPATIAL ACCESSIBILITY



- Use the Two-Step Floating Catchment Area Method to evaluate access to health care services in the Delta Region
 - Accounts for population within a given catchment area for a health care service
 - Accounts for the access to a health care service within a given catchment area for a population, such as those in a census tract
- Example:
 - Food and Drug Administration data on approve mammography facilities (health care service)
 - ACS population estimates of women of recommended breast cancer screening age in a census tract (population)
- Other health service locations to which you can apply this method: physicians, federally qualified health centers, local health departments, etc. (i.e. any service with comprehensive data availability and addresses)



Source: Luo and Wang, 2003



APPLICATION #3-SPATIAL ACCESSIBILITY

 Step #1: Construct a catchment area around a provider/facility and calculate a provider-population ratio w/in the catchment area.

$$R_j = S_j / \sum_{k \in C_j} P_k$$

• Step #2: For each population center (e.g. census tract), sum the provider-population ratio for providers within the catchment area.

$$A_i = \sum_{j \in Z_i} R_j = \sum_{j \in Z_i} (S_j / \sum_{k \in C_j} P_k)$$

• These two steps generate a spatial accessibility score for each census tract (or chosen geographic unit).





Source: Luo and Wang, 2003; Wang, 2015



STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- Strengths
 - ACS data are available at different geographic scales and can be applied across a wide geographic area
 - 5 year estimates are most reliable, have largest sample size
 - A wide range of variables can describe social context
 - Intra-census data collection is more temporally congruent with outcomes data
- Limitations
 - Large standard errors for ACS population estimates
 - Sampling and non-sampling errors
 - Modifiable Areal Unit Problem- findings may be different based upon geographic scale



SUMMARY

- ACS data are flexible and can be applied across large geographic regions and using different geographic scales
- There are three uses for ACS data that we propose to assess health disparities:
 - To visually display the distribution of ACS measures of social or physical context or use of ACS measures to determine physical context
 - To provide measures of social and physical context in multilevel modeling to evaluate health outcomes across a large region
 - To apply to spatial methods as a population denominator to assess access to health care services





REFERENCES



American Community Survey (ACS). United States Census Bureau website. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data.html. Accessed May 2, 2017.

Area Health Resources Files (AHRF). 2014-2015. Rockville, MD.: US Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Health Workforce.

Cosby AG, Bowser DM. The health of the Delta Region: a story of increasing disparities. J Health Hum Serv Adm. 2008;31(1):58-71.

Delta Regional Authority. Today's Delta A Research Tool for the Region 2nd Edition. Available at <u>http://dra.gov/images/uploads/content_files/Todays_Delta_FINAL_print-w-borders.pdf</u>

Gennuso KP, Jovaag A, Catlin BB, Rodock M, Park H. Assessment of Factors Contributing to Health Outcomes in the Eight States of the Mississippi Delta Region. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2016;13:E33.

Luo W and Wang F. Measures of Spatial Accessibility to Health Care in a GIS Environment: Synthesis and a Case Study in the Chicago Region. *Environmental and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Planning*. 2003; 30(6): 865-884.

Meilleur A, Subramanian SV, Plascak JJ, Fisher JL, Paskett ED, Lamont EB. Rural residence and cancer outcomes in the United States: issues and challenges. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2013;22(10):1657-1667.

Mokdad AH, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Fitzmaurice C et al. Trends and Patterns of Disparities in Cancer Mortality Among US Counties, 1980-2014. JAMA. 2017; 137 (4): 388-406.

United States Department of Agriculture. Rural Urban Continuum Codes. http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes/documentation.aspx. Accessed May 2, 2016.

Wang F. GIS-Based Measures of Spatial Accessibility and Application in Examining Health Care Access. *Quantitative Methods and Socio-economic Applications in GIS*. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis Group; 2015.

Warnecke RB, Oh A, Breen N, et al. Approaching health disparities from a population perspective: the National Institutes of Health Centers for Population Health and Health Disparities. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(9):1608-1615.





Questions?

<u>wzahnd@siumed.edu</u> 217-545-2428

